	Case 1:25-cv-00421-KES-EPG Docume	nt 5 Filed 06/03/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CANDACE SMITH,	No. 1:25-cv-00421-KES-EPG
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	KATHY COOLEY, et al.,	(Doc. 4)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Candace Smith, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil action on	
18	April 10, 2025. Docs. 1, 3. The matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant	
19	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On April 17, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
21	recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice and without leave to amend based	
22	on plaintiff's failure to comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Court's	
23	lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Doc. 4. Specifically, the findings and recommendations found	
24	that the plaintiff set forth no facts in support of her allegations within her complaint and had not	
25	pled facts to establish this Court's jurisdiction. <i>Id.</i> at 3–4. Additionally, because of the plaintiff's	
26	lengthy history of filing deficient lawsuits despite being provided the benefit of screening orders	
27	setting forth the applicable law and pleading standards, and because it was unlikely that plaintiff	
28	could cure the deficiencies by submitting an amended complaint, the findings and	
		1
	I	· ·

	Case 1:25-cv-00421-KES-EPG Document 5 Filed 06/03/25 Page 2 of 2	
1	recommendations recommended that dismissal be without leave to amend. <i>Id.</i> at 5-6. The	
2	findings and recommendations contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within	
3	thirty days after service. <i>Id.</i> at 6. No objections were filed and the time to do so has passed.	
4	In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of	
5	this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and	
6	recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
7	Accordingly:	
8	1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 17, 2025, Doc. 4, are adopted	
9	in full;	
10	2. The complaint is dismissed without leave to amend and the action is dismissed	
11	without prejudice; and	
12	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.	
13		
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: June 2, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		